

A Review of Artificial Intelligence Use in the Preparation of Articles for Scientific Journals (Elsevier, Wiley, SAGE, Springer, Taylor & Francis and COPE)

Review author: dr. Rima Sinickė Date 2025-05-15

This overview compares how different scientific publishers regulate the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) for scientific publications. The overview is available in English and Lithuanian.

Please send comments and suggestions to info@etikostarnyba.lt

Table based on information from 15th May 2025

Printed by LJ "Kriventa"

Sources

- 1. COPE. Authorship and AI tools. https://doi.org/10.24318/cCVRZBms
- 2. Elsevier. Generative AI policies for journals. https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies-and-standards/generative-ai-policies-for-journals
- 3. SAGE Publishing. Artificial intelligence policy. https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/artificial-intelligence-policy
- 4. Springer. Editorial policies: Artificial intelligence (AI). https://www.springer.com/gp/editorial-policies/artificial-intelligence--ai-/25428500
- 5. Taylor & Francis. Al policy. https://taylorandfrancis.com/our-policies/ai-policy/
- 6. Wiley. Best practice guidelines on research integrity and publishing ethics. https://authorservices.wiley.com/ethics-guidelines/index.html

	Elsevier	SAGE Publishing	Springer	Taylor & Francis	Wiley	COPE
Databases	Elsevier's Scopus Science Direct ClinicalKey Embase	SAGE Journal SAGE Knowledge	SpringerLink Nature.com BioMed Central (BMC) Palgrave Macmillan Adis	Taylor & Francis Online Informa Healthcare	Wiley Online Library Wiley Digital Archives	COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics)
Can Al be an author or co-author?	NO. Authors should not list AI and AI-assisted technologies as an author or co-author, nor cite AI as an author.	NO. Al tools cannot meet the requirements for authorship as they cannot take responsibility for the submitted work. As non-legal entities, they cannot assert the presence or absence of conflicts of interest nor manage copyright and license agreements.	NO. Large Language Models (LLMs), such as ChatGPT, do not currently satisfy Springer's authorship criteria.	NO. Generative AI tools must not be listed as an author.	NO. Al Technology cannot be considered capable of initiating an original piece of research without direction by humans.	NO. Al tools cannot meet the requirements for authorship as they cannot take responsibility for the submitted work. As non- legal entities, they cannot assert the presence or absence of conflicts of inte- rest nor manage copyright and license agreements.
Scope of Al use for Authors?	Language editing only. Should only be used to improve readability and language of the work.	Sage welcomes Al developments in the research and writing process to enhance opportunities for: • generating ideas, • accelerating research discovery, • synthesising, or analysing findings, • polishing language, • structuring a submission, • dissemination.	Language editing. The use of an LLM (or other Al-tool) for "Al assisted copy editing" purposes does not need to be declared.	Taylor & Francis welcomes the new opportunities offered by Generative AI tools, particularly in: • enhancing idea generation and exploration, • supporting authors to express content in a nonnative language, • accelerating the research (Interactive online search with LLM-enhanced search engines) • literature classification • coding assistance • dissemination process.	Language editing only. Only use AI Technology as a companion to their writing process, not a replacement. GenAI tools must not be used to create, alter, or manipulate original research data and results.	 Writing of a manuscript, Production of images or graphical elements of the paper, Collection and analysis of data

	Elsevier	SAGE Publishing	Springer	Taylor & Francis	Wiley	СОРЕ
Where to point use of AI?	A statement at the end of the manuscript, immediately above the references, entitled 'Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process'.	Methods or Acknowledgement section. Al tools that make suggestions to improve or enhance work, such as tools to improve language, grammar or structure, are considered assistive Al tools and do not require disclosure by authors or reviewers. Clearly indicate the use of language models in the manuscript, including which model was used and for what purpose. Authors should cite original sources, rather than Generative Al tools as primary sources within the references. If submission was primarily or partially generated using Al, this must be disclosed upon submission.	Methods section (and if a Methods section is not available, in a suitable alternative part) of the manuscript. The use of an LLM (or other Al-tool) for "Al assisted copy editing" purposes does not need to be declared.	Methods or Acknowledgments section. Authors must clearly acknowledge within the article any use of Generative AI tools through a statement which includes: the full name of the tool used (with version number), how it was used, and the reason for use.	Methods or Acknowledgements section. Al use must be described, transparently and in detail, in the Methods section (or via a disclosure or within the Acknowledgements section, as applicable).	Materials and Methods (or similar section) of the paper how the AI tool was used and which tool was used.
Revie- wers' use of Al	NO. Upload – strictly no. Even if it is just for the purpose of improving language and readability. Should not upload a submitted manuscript or any part of it into a generative Al tool as this may violate the authors' confidentiality and proprietary rights and, where the paper contains personally identifiable information, may breach data privacy rights. Generative Al or Al-assisted technologies should not be used by reviewers.	Language editing and more. Reviewers may wish to use Generative AI to improve the quality of the language in their review. Editors may use Generative AI tools for assistance in looking for suitable peer-reviewers. The use of AI tools that can produce content such as generating references, text, images or any other form of content must be disclosed when used by authors or reviewers. Editors must not use ChatGPT or other Generative AI to generate decision letters, or summaries of unpublished research.	NO. Upload – no. Peer reviewers do not upload manuscripts into generative AI tools. If any part of the evaluation of the claims made in the manuscript was in any way supported by an AI tool, peer reviewers has to declare the use of such tools transparently in the peer review report.	Language editing only. Upload - no. Editors and peer reviewers must not upload files, images or information from unpublished manuscripts or project proposals, including any associated files, images or information, into Generative Al tools. Generative Al may only be utilised to assist with improving review language, but peer reviewers will at all times remain responsible for ensuring the accuracy and integrity of their reviews.	Language editing only. Upload – no. Reviewers should not upload manuscripts (or any parts of manuscripts including figures and tables) into Al Technology. A GenAl tool can be used by an editor or peer reviewer to improve the quality of the written feedback in a peer review report. Peer review process should not be delegated to a GenAl tool.	N/A
Link	Generative AI policies for journals	Artificial Intelligence Policy	Editorial Policies. Artificial Intelligence (AI)	Al Policy	Best Practice Guidelines on Research Integrity and Publishing Ethics	COPE Council. COPE position. Authorship and Al
	https://www.elsevier. com/about/policies-and- standards/generative-ai- policies-for-journals	https://us.sagepub.com/ en-us/nam/artificial- intelligence-policy	https://www.springer. com/gp/editorial-policies/ artificial-intelligence ai-/25428500	https://taylorandfrancis.com/ our-policies/ai-policy/	https://authorservices.wiley. com/ethics-guidelines/index. html	https://doi.org/10.24318/ cCVRZBms